		AGEND	A ITE	м Ехі	ECUTIV	E SUM	MARY	
	Title:	Discussion Regarding Approach Sidewalk Maintenance Responsibility						
ST. CHARLES	Presenter:	Mark Koenen						
SINCE 1834	aujata hani					TH, MIC		
Please check appropriate box:  Government Operations			X	Government Services 10.24.11				
Planning & Development				City Council				
Public Hearing								
Estimated Cost:			Budg	geted: YES NO				
If NO, please explai	n how item will	be funded:						
					- Milly			
Executive Summar Recently, a resident co	-	1	. 1 : 41-		allallita C		ina a saatia	n of sidowalls
approach walk in front responsibility of the adpublic right-of-way. T sidewalk approach wal  The Municipal Code reapproach type" from the curbing or edge of pave adjacent lot or parcel." responsibility of the owsidewalk, approach type	of their home. The jacent property of their home. The jacent property of the sidewal and the sidewal the Municipal Code dement right-of-wall Code discusses rever of the lot or property of the sidewal code discusses reverses of the lot or property of the sidewall code discusses reverses of the lot or property of the sidewall code discusses reverses of the lot or property of the sidewall code discusses reverses the sidewall code discusses reverses the sidewall code and the sidewall	ne resident was informed resident because the resident because the requested by Ald. Desir/replacement.  It approach walk as the is "A parkway structy. This approach side maintenance of sidew	med the elieves Stellat  "sidew eture in lewalk ralk, ap	at sidewa the City o to allo alk, appose tended for is intend proach to	alk approa should be w for disc coach type or pedestred ed primar ype in sec	e response response responsion received. The crian use urily for the critical response respon	maintenansible as the egarding the definition of usually extense private c 04.217-C and as the control of the c	ce is the walk exists in the the responsibility for of "sidewalk, ending from the convenience of the and it reads "It is the
damage caused request for rep	d by action of the lacement.	ewalk approach walk City (e.g., repair on a pproach walk mainte	an unde	rground	utility).	This is n	ot the case	with the current

with City practice regarding driveway apron maintenance/repair and mailbox maintenance/repair. In both cases, but for the adjacent property owner having the need or desire for the driveway apron, mailbox or sidewalk approach walk,

3- Public Works does not have an inventory of sidewalk approach walks and we do not have knowledge of the condition of same. Staff does know that there are a variety of sizes and shapes of sidewalk approach walks throughout the traditional neighborhoods of St. Charles. Additionally, there are many homes that do not have a sidewalk approach walk that may desire one, if it becomes a responsibility of the city. Should the Committee want to pursue this matter,

staff would suggest we consider the cost implications on the budget for this new service.

this parkway use would not exist.

Recommendation / Suggested Action (briefly explain):

Agenda Item Number: 3.r

Committee discussion, feedback and direction

Attachments: (please list)

Color Photos

For office use only:



